

When every polynomial is unconditionally converging*

Manuel González[†]

Departamento de Matemáticas

Facultad de Ciencias

Universidad de Cantabria

39071 Santander (Spain)

Joaquín M. Gutiérrez[‡]

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada

ETS de Ingenieros Industriales

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

C. José Gutiérrez Abascal 2

28006 Madrid (Spain)

Abstract

Letting E, F be Banach spaces, the main two results of this paper are the following: (1) If every (linear bounded) operator $E \rightarrow F$ is unconditionally converging, then every polynomial from E to F is unconditionally converging (definition as in the linear case). (2) If E has the Dunford-Pettis property and every operator $E \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact, then every k -linear mapping from E^k into F takes weak Cauchy sequences into norm convergent sequences. In particular, every polynomial from ℓ_∞ into a space containing no copy of ℓ_∞ is completely continuous. This solves a problem raised by the authors in a previous paper, where they showed that there exist nonweakly compact polynomials from ℓ_∞ into any nonreflexive space.

1 Introduction

Throughout, E, F will be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the space of all (linear bounded) operators from E to F , and by $\mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$ the subspace of

*1991 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 46E50, 46B20

[†]Supported in part by DGICYT Grant PB 91-0307 (Spain)

[‡]Supported in part by DGICYT Grants PB 90-0044 and PB 91-0307 (Spain)

all weakly compact operators. We say that $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is *completely continuous* if it takes weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences, and T is *unconditionally converging* if it takes weakly unconditionally Cauchy (w.u.C.) series into unconditionally convergent (u.c.) series (the definitions are recalled below). The respective subspaces of operators are denoted $\mathcal{CC}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{UC}(E, F)$.

It is well known that if F contains no copy of ℓ_∞ , then we have the equalities

$$\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(\ell_\infty, F) = \mathcal{CC}(\ell_\infty, F) = \mathcal{UC}(\ell_\infty, F).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$ the space of all k -homogeneous (continuous) polynomials from E to F , and by $\mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$ the subspace of completely continuous polynomials, i.e., the polynomials taking weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent ones.

In [4] the authors showed that, contrarily to the linear case ($k = 1$), whenever F is nonreflexive, for every integer $k \geq 2$, there is a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k \ell_\infty, F)$ which is not weakly compact. It can be obtained as the composition of the following three mappings

$$\ell_\infty \xrightarrow{U} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{Q} \ell_1 \xrightarrow{T} F$$

where U is a completely continuous linear surjection, Q is the polynomial given by $Q((x_n)_n) = \left(x_n^k\right)_n$, and T is a quotient onto a separable nonreflexive subspace of F .

It is then natural to ask the following question:

(a) is every polynomial from ℓ_∞ to c_0 completely continuous?

The authors also proved [4] that, given $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$, if $\sum x_i$ is a w.u.C. (resp., u.c.) series in E , then $\sum P(x_i)$ is a w.u.C. (resp., u.c.) series in F .

This justifies the introduction of the following class of polynomials: we say that P is *unconditionally converging*, and write $P \in \mathcal{P}_{uc}(^k E, F)$, if for every w.u.C. series $\sum x_i$ in E , the series $\sum P(x_i)$ is u.c. in F . Several properties of Banach spaces are obtained in [4] in terms of the relationship of $\mathcal{P}_{uc}(^k E, F)$ with other classes of polynomials used in the literature. It is proved in particular that we always have $\mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{uc}(^k E, F)$, and that every weakly compact polynomial is unconditionally converging.

Therefore, previous to question (a) is the following:

(b) is every polynomial from ℓ_∞ to c_0 unconditionally converging?

In the present paper, we first prove (Section 2) that whenever E and F satisfy the condition $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$, we also have $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{uc}(^k E, F)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\mathbf{N}$ denotes the natural numbers.

Recall that E has the *Dunford-Pettis property* (DPP) if for every F we have $\mathcal{WCo}(E, F) \subseteq \mathcal{CC}(E, F)$. Our second main result (Section 3) states that, whenever E has the DPP, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, we then have $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$. This happens, for instance, if $E = C(K)$ with K stonean, and F contains no copy of ℓ_∞ ($F \not\supset \ell_\infty$); also, if $E = C(K)$ and $F \not\supset c_0$. It may be useful to recall that the problem of finding pairs E, F so that $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$ has received some attention. Pełczyński showed [7] that this is the case for $E = \ell_p$ and $F = \ell_q$, with $kq < p$. Gonzalo and Jaramillo [5] have recently extended this result to spaces admitting upper and lower p -estimates.

In Section 4, we prove the existence of a completely continuous extension of every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^kE, F)$ to the bidual of E , when the dual of E has the DPP. The proofs of all these results take advantage of an idea of [10].

We denote by E^* the dual of E , and by $T^* : F^* \rightarrow E^*$ the adjoint of the operator $T : E \rightarrow F$. The space of k -linear (continuous) mappings from E^k into F is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(^kE, F)$. To each $P \in \mathcal{P}(^kE, F)$ we can associate a unique symmetric $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{L}(^kE, F)$ so that $P(x) = \hat{P}(x, \dots, x)$ for all $x \in E$. We say that $A \in \mathcal{L}(^kE, F)$ is *completely continuous* if given weak Cauchy sequences $(x_1^n), \dots, (x_k^n) \subset E$, the sequence $(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n))_n$ is norm convergent in F .

It is well known [8, Proposition 5] that if E has the DPP, then every k -linear mapping from E^k to F takes weak Cauchy sequences into weak Cauchy sequences. This is not true in general: for instance, the bilinear mapping

$$((x_n), (y_n)) \in \ell_2 \mapsto (x_n y_n) \in \ell_1$$

takes a weakly null sequence into a sequence having no weak Cauchy subsequence.

For the general theory of polynomials on Banach spaces, we refer to [6]. Finally, let us recall that a formal series $\sum x_i$ in E is w.u.C. if for every $\phi \in E^*$, we have $\sum |\phi(x_i)| < \infty$; equivalently, if

$$\sup_n \sup_{|\epsilon_i| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i x_i \right\| < \infty.$$

A series is u.c. if any subseries is norm convergent.

2 Unconditionally converging polynomials

In this Section, we prove that whenever $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$, we also have the equality $\mathcal{P}(^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{uc}(^kE, F)$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Assume $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0)$. Then we have $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0(F)) = \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0(F))$.*

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, c_0(F))$ is not unconditionally converging, and write $Tx = (T_n x)_n$, with $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

We can find a w.u.C. series $\sum x_i$ in E such that $\|Tx_i\| > \delta > 0$, for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there are $n_i \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $\|T_{n_i} x_i\| > \delta$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$). Since $T_n \in \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$, we have $\|T_n x_i\| \rightarrow 0$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This allows assumption (passing to a subseries) that (n_i) is an increasing sequence. Choose $\psi_i \in F^*$, $\|\psi_i\| = 1$, with $|\psi_i(T_{n_i} x_i)| > \delta$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), and define $S \in \mathcal{L}(c_0(F), c_0)$ by $S((y_n)) := (\psi_i(y_{n_i}))$. Then

$$\|STx_j\| = \sup_i |\psi_i(T_{n_i} x_j)| \geq |\psi_j(T_{n_j} x_j)| > \delta.$$

This implies $ST \notin \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0)$, a contradiction. $\square$

The following result is probably well known. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2 *A space E contains no complemented copy of c_0 if and only if $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, c_0)$ is not unconditionally converging. Then we can find a subspace $M \subseteq E$ isomorphic to c_0 such that $T|_M$, the restriction of T to M , is an isomorphism [9, Lemma 1]. By the separable injectivity of c_0 , $T(M)$ is complemented in c_0 . Letting $S : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ be a projection with $S(c_0) = T(M)$, and defining $U : E \rightarrow E$ by $U := (T|_M)^{-1}ST$, we have that U is a projection with $U(E) = M$. The converse is clear. $\square$

Theorem 3 *Whenever $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$, we also have $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{uc}({}^kE, F)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that E contains a complemented copy of c_0 . Then F cannot contain a copy of c_0 , and so every F -valued polynomial is unconditionally converging [4, Theorem 2].

If E contains no complemented copy of c_0 , then by Lemma 2, we have $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0)$, and we proceed by induction on k . Suppose the result is true for $(k-1)$ -homogeneous polynomials. Consider $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^kE, F)$ and a w.u.C. series $\sum x_n$ in E . By the proof of Lemma 4 in [4], it is enough to show that $\|Px_n\| \rightarrow 0$. We define $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, c_0(F))$ by

$$Tx := \left(\hat{P}(x_m, \dots, x_m, x) \right)_m.$$

We claim that T is well defined. Indeed, for $x \in E$ fixed, we can give a polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^{k-1}E, F)$ by

$$Q(y) := \hat{P}(y, \dots, y, x) \quad (y \in E).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{uc}({}^{k-1}E, F)$. In particular, $\|Qx_m\| \rightarrow 0$, and the claim is proved.

By Lemma 1, $T \in \mathcal{UC}(E, c_0(F))$. Hence,

$$\|Px_n\| = \left\| \hat{P}(x_n, \dots, x_n) \right\| \leq \sup_m \left\| \hat{P}(x_m, \dots, x_m, x_n) \right\| = \|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Recall that E has the *hereditary* DPP if any closed subspace of E has the DPP. A polynomial is *completely continuous at the origin* if it takes weakly null sequences into norm null sequences.

Corollary 4 *Assume E has the hereditary DPP, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{UC}(E, F)$. Then $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^kE, F)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3, we have $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{uc}({}^kE, F)$. Since E has the hereditary DPP, every unconditionally converging polynomial on E is completely continuous at the origin [4, Proposition 20]. Hence, every polynomial on E is completely continuous at the origin.

Let now $(x_n) \subset E$ be a sequence weakly converging to x , and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$. Then

$$P(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{P}(x_n - x)^i (x)^{k-i} + P(x).$$

Since all the polynomials on E are completely continuous at 0, we conclude that $P(x_n) \rightarrow P(x)$. $\square$

This Corollary contains a result of [5] stating that whenever E has the hereditary DPP, and F contains no copy of c_0 , we have $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$.

3 Completely continuous polynomials

The fact that $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$ does not imply that every polynomial from E into F be weakly compact. A simple example is the polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^k \ell_2, \ell_1)$ given in Section 1. This example also shows that if we have $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{CC}(E, F)$, we need not have $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$ either. Ryan [10] proved that if E has the DPP, then every weakly compact polynomial on E is completely continuous. A modification of his argument allows us to prove that if E has the DPP, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, then we have $\mathcal{P}(^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E, F)$ for all k .

We need a previous lemma.

Lemma 5 [10, Lemma 1.2] *An operator $T : E \rightarrow c_0(F)$, with $Tx = (T_n(x))_n$, is weakly compact if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) *for every n , the operator $T_n : E \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact;*
- (b) *for every $x^{**} \in E^{**}$, $\lim_n \|T_n^{**}(x^{**})\| = 0$.*

We can now state the main result of the Section.

Theorem 6 *Suppose E has the DPP, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$. Given $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(^k E, F)$, let $(x_1^n), \dots, (x_k^n) \subset E$ be weak Cauchy sequences. Then the sequence $(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n))_n$ is norm convergent.*

Proof. By induction on k . For $k = 1$, the result is clear. Assume it is true for $(k - 1)$ -linear mappings, and take $A \in \mathcal{L}(^k E, F)$, and weak Cauchy sequences $(x_1^n), \dots, (x_k^n) \subset E$.

We suppose first that one of the sequences is weakly null. To fix notation, let $x_1^n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. For every $z \in E$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} E \times \overset{(k-1)}{\dots} \times E &\longrightarrow F \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) &\longmapsto A(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) \end{aligned}$$

is $(k - 1)$ -linear. By the induction hypothesis, the sequence $(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, z))_n$ is norm convergent. By [1, Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4], its limit is 0. For completeness, we give a short proof of this fact, valid in our case: Since E has the DPP, for each $\psi \in F^*$, the $(k - 2)$ -linear mapping from E^{k-2} into E^* given by

$$(x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) \longmapsto \psi \circ A(\cdot, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, z)$$

takes the weak Cauchy sequences $(x_2^n), \dots, (x_{k-1}^n) \subset E$ into the weak Cauchy sequence $(\psi \circ A(\cdot, x_2^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, z))_n \subset E^*$. Again by the DPP of E , since (x_1^n) is weakly null, we have $\psi \circ A(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, z) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. the sequence $(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, z))_n$ is weakly null. Since it is norm convergent, the limit must be 0.

Now, we can define the operator $T : E \rightarrow c_0(F)$ by

$$Tz := \left(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, z) \right)_n \text{ for each } z \in E.$$

We claim that T is weakly compact. Since each coordinate operator $T_n : E \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact, it is enough (Lemma 5) to show that, for every $z^{**} \in E^{**}$, we have $\lim_n \|T_n^{**}(z^{**})\| = 0$.

Consider the mapping

$$\overline{A} : E \times \overset{(k-1)}{\dots} \times E \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$$

given by

$$\overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) := A(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \cdot).$$

Then $T_n = \overline{A}(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)$. Since $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, for each $z^{**} \in E^{**}$, we can define $S_{z^{**}} \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ by

$$S_{z^{**}}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) := \left(\overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \right)^{**}(z^{**}).$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\|T_n^{**}(z^{**})\| = \|S_{z^{**}}(x_1^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)\| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the claim is proved.

By the DPP of E , T is completely continuous. Therefore $(Tx_k^n)_n$ converges to some $w = (w_i) \in c_0(F)$. In particular,

$$\|A(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n) - w_n\| \xrightarrow{n} 0.$$

Since $\|w_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that $\|A(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n)\| \rightarrow 0$.

For the general case, suppose that $(x_1^n), \dots, (x_k^n) \subset E$ are weak Cauchy sequences, and choose two increasing sequences of indices $(r_n), (s_n)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(x_1^{r_n}, \dots, x_k^{r_n}) - A(x_1^{s_n}, \dots, x_k^{s_n})\| \leq \\ & \|A(x_1^{r_n} - x_1^{s_n}, x_2^{r_n}, \dots, x_k^{r_n})\| + \|A(x_1^{s_n}, x_2^{r_n} - x_2^{s_n}, \dots, x_k^{r_n})\| \\ & + \dots + \|A(x_1^{s_n}, \dots, x_k^{r_n} - x_k^{s_n})\| \\ & \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence $(A(x_1^n, \dots, x_k^n))_n$ is norm convergent. $\square$

Corollary 7 Suppose E has the DPP and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$. Then we have $\mathcal{P}({}^k E, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^k E, F)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

The Theorem and Corollary hold, for instance, in the following cases:

- (a) $E = C(K)$ with K stonean (e.g. $E = \ell_\infty$), and $F \not\supset \ell_\infty$.
- (b) $E = C(K)$ and $F \not\supset c_0$.
- (c) E^* has the Schur property, and $F^* \not\supset \ell_1$.
- (d) E^* has the Schur property, and F is weakly sequentially complete.

4 Extension to the bidual

We prove that whenever E^* has the DPP property, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, then every polynomial from E to F has an extension to a completely continuous polynomial from E^{**} to F .

The following result will be needed:

Proposition 8 [2] *The dual space E^* has the DPP if and only if for every F and $T \in \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, the second adjoint T^{**} is completely continuous.*

In the next Theorem, we use the same symbol for a multilinear mapping and its extensions.

Theorem 9 *Suppose E^* has the DPP, and $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$. Then each polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$ has an extension $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^k E^{**}, F)$, with $\|\tilde{P}\| = \|P\|$.*

Proof. Let A be the symmetric k -linear mapping associated to P . We extend A to E^{**} coordinatewise by the Davie-Gamelin procedure [3]: for each fixed j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, and for each fixed $x_1, \dots, x_{j-1} \in E$, and $z_{j+1}, \dots, z_k \in E^{**}$, the operator

$$x \in E \longmapsto A(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_k)$$

is extended to E^{**} by taking its second adjoint. Since $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$, it is clear that the extensions have range in F .

We define $\tilde{P}(z) := A(z, \dots, z)$, for $z \in E^{**}$. Easily, for each $\psi \in F^*$, $\psi \circ \tilde{P} = \widetilde{\psi \circ P}$. Since $\|\widetilde{\psi \circ P}\| = \|\psi \circ P\|$ [3], we obtain $\|\tilde{P}\| = \|P\|$.

Proceeding by induction on k , we prove that the extension A is completely continuous. For $k = 1$, the result holds by Proposition 8. Assume it is true for the $(k - 1)$ -linear mappings. Take weak Cauchy sequences $(z_1^n), \dots, (z_k^n) \subset E^{**}$, and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$ with associated A as above. Suppose that one of the sequences, say (z_k^n) to fix notation, is weakly null. For every $z \in E^{**}$, the mapping

$$(z_2, \dots, z_k) \in (E^{**})^{k-1} \longmapsto A(z, z_2, \dots, z_k)$$

is completely continuous, by the induction hypothesis. Therefore, the sequence $(A(z, z_2^n, \dots, z_k^n))_n$ converges to zero, as in Theorem 6.

Defining $T : E \rightarrow c_0(F)$ by $Tx := (A(x, z_2^n, \dots, z_k^n))_n$, for $x \in E$, we have

$$\|T_n^{**}(z)\| = \|A(z, z_2^n, \dots, z_k^n)\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 5, T is weakly compact. By Proposition 8, T^{**} is completely continuous. Hence, as in Theorem 6, $A(z_1^n, \dots, z_k^n) \rightarrow 0$. The proof finishes as in Theorem 6. $\square$

References

- [1] R. M. Aron, C. Hervés and M. Valdivia, Weakly continuous mappings on Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **52** (1983), 189–204.
- [2] J. M. F. Castillo and M. González, New results about the Dunford-Pettis property in Banach spaces, preprint.
- [3] A. M. Davie and T. W. Gamelin, A theorem on polynomial-star approximation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), 351–356.
- [4] M. González and J. M. Gutiérrez, Unconditionally converging polynomials on Banach spaces, to appear in *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*
- [5] R. Gonzalo and J. A. Jaramillo, Compact polynomials between Banach spaces, preprint.
- [6] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Math. Studies **120**, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1986.
- [7] A. Pełczyński, A property of multilinear operations, *Studia Math.* **16** (1957), 173–182.
- [8] A. Pełczyński, On weakly compact polynomial operators on B -spaces with Dunford-Pettis property, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **11** (1963), 371–378.
- [9] A. Pełczyński, On strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators. I. Strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators in $C(S)$ -spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **13** (1965), 31–36.
- [10] R. A. Ryan, Dunford-Pettis properties, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **27** (1979), 373–379.